COUNTRY REPORT 2024 - THE NETHERLANDS BAR

In the past year the Netherlands Bar focused on various issues, of which the most significant are highlighted below.

Legal aid
In 2023 the Netherlands Bar continued to work hard for litigants and their lawyers within the system of government funded legal aid. Legal aid and the judiciary depend on the departmental budget of the Ministry of Justice and Security. This means that there is an annual political discussion on the budgets for the judiciary and funded legal aid. According to the Netherlands Bar, such political discussions can lead to an increased risk of violations of access to justice. This is an undesirable situation. The Netherlands Bar is seriously concerned about the future supply of legal aid lawyers if no long-term policy is developed to reverse the downward trend. According to the Netherlands Bar, legal aid for specific groups of litigants, such as the citizens who have fallen victim to the childcare allowance affair and the habitants of the earthquake zone in Groningen, must remain priority of the Dutch government.

After fees for legal aid lawyers were raised to more realistic levels in 2021, this positive change was virtually offset by high inflation rates in 2022. The Netherlands Bar has therefore proposed to the (outgoing) Minister for Legal Protection and several political parties that those fees should be adjusted to reflect actual inflation rates. The Netherlands Bar also requested a permanent adjustment of the lagging travel expense allowance for legal aid lawyers.

In December 2023 legal aid lawyers will receive a one-time compensation of 4.62 percent from the Legal Aid Board. This results from the motion of Mr. Sneller (a Dutch social liberal politician), urging the government to make an emergency investment in funded legal aid. This one-time measure aims to partially offset the cost increases for legal aid providers due to the high inflation of recent years. The amount to be received is determined based on the number of granted legal aid assignments, emergency duty reports, and additional hours allocated between 1 October 2022 and 1 October 2023. This calculation considers a rate of 4.62 percent, which represents the difference between the indexing rates as of 1 January 2023 (0.67%) and 1 January 2024 (5.29%).

The Netherlands Bar regards this one-time compensation as a first necessary step and is cautiously positive about the supplementary remuneration. However, the compensation is not enough to relieve the pressure on the government funded legal aid system and to guarantee legal aid for everyone. In the near future, the financing of the legal aid system must therefore be fundamentally improved. A politically neutral budget for the system of funded legal aid, which is separate from the legislative and executive branches, is an important precondition for this.

Another motion of Mr. Sneller was adopted in October 2023 which calls on the government to make an emergency investment. According to the Netherlands Bar it is important that the compensation moves with the ever-changing work activities. This avoids the need to negotiate an emergency investment every year.

Rule of law in Dutch parliamentary election programs
In September 2023, the Netherlands Bar established a committee to assess the adherence to the rule of law for the Dutch parliamentary elections on 22 November 2023 in the parliamentary election programs. The rule of law is an important topic for the Netherlands Bar. After all, it is the lawyer who plays a crucial role in the legal protection of the rights and freedoms of citizens in a democratic society. The committee considered it important that voters can be informed about the views of political parties that can strengthen the rule of law or pose a risk to it. Although the committee gave positive evaluations for most of the plans of the political parties, proposals that do not meet the minimum standards of the rule of law were found in ten out of the eighteen programs examined. The analysis of the election programs shows a mixed picture. The committee noted that many parties reflect on the risks of a business-like and anonymous government, in light of the childcare allowance affair and the earthquake damage due to gas extraction in the province of Groningen. This leads to a lot of attention for the individual, customisation, and, for instance, the desire for a human face of the government in the programs. The committee sees citizen participation as a trend. Numerous proposals were made to strengthen the citizen's involvement in legislation and administration. However, according to the committee these proposals were insufficiently elaborated in the election programs. Yet, the committee is positive about the attention many parties give to the Constitution, but it raises various questions about how
testing should take place. The committee's picture became more worrying when it comes to guaranteeing fundamental rights and freedoms for all citizens. This also applies to the certainty of a fair trial and effective access to justice for everyone. These are often proposals in the field of major social and political issues such as immigration and (organised) crime. It is these issues that showed that the rule of law, also internationally, comes under pressure first. In these real challenges faced by politics, solutions must be chosen that do not undermine the rule of law itself. Proposals that want to limit access to justice for certain groups do violence to the rule of law itself. Still, the analysis of the committee of most of the examined political party election programs' plans is positive, no matter how diverse and sometimes rudimentary those proposals are.

**Supervision of the legal profession**
The Netherlands is transitioning to a system with a national supervisor (OTA / Onafhankelijke Toezichthouder Advocatuur).

Currently the 11 local bar presidents are responsible for the supervision of all lawyers in their judicial district. In 2015, this supervision model was introduced with the ‘Act on the position and supervision of the legal profession’ (Wpta), which would be evaluated after five years. In 2020 Pro Facto published a report with proposals to improve the supervision model in the Netherlands. The report contains recommendations to further develop the uniformity, effectiveness and transparency of supervision. Afterwards, a number of incidents prompted the Minister for Legal Protection to come up with the proposal for a national supervisor (OTA). So the state is involved in the design of the new supervision model. However, the OTA will be independently positioned from both the state and all other bodies of the Netherlands Bar. The Netherlands Bar considers supervision within the profession necessary for the independent position of lawyers and the litigants. The OTA will be responsible for national supervision especially on AML and sanction regulation. National supervision leads to the pooling of knowledge and experience and to harmonisation of supervision. The local embedding should be secured by (specialised) supervisors. A good exchange of information between the local bar president and supervisors is required. Dialogues about this are taking place with the local bar presidents. Members of the OTA shall not be a member of other bodies within the Netherlands Bar and the local bars. They will also be exempted from lawyer’s activities. In appointing members of the OTA, independence is strengthened by using an outward-looking approach. Besides, the OTA appoints its own personnel and personnel is exclusively accountable to the OTA. Financial independence is ensured, as the OTA has its own autonomous budget, which it determines in consultation with the general council and with the ‘view from outside’. The general council will consult the (outgoing) Minister to further discuss this. With the introduction of the OTA, there will no longer be any role for the supervisory board. The general council will address this position with its stakeholders, before it gives its opinion on this matter. The plan of the (outgoing) Minister for Legal Protection will be discussed in the (new) Dutch parliament, most likely in the first quarter of 2024 in the (new) Dutch parliament.

**Confidentiality**
Confidentiality of the contact between lawyer and client is one of the legally entrenched core values of the lawyer. The Netherlands Bar stresses the importance of confidentiality between lawyers and clients, which is necessary for proper legal assistance and good administration of justice. The lawyer’s confidentiality obligation and his legal professional privilege should be handled prudently, and ultimately determined by the judge in concrete cases while taking into account the importance of confidentiality in the context of the good administration of justice.

The confidentiality of the contact between lawyer and client has been under pressure for several years now and in many ways. An example of this is the working method used by the Public Prosecution Service when it comes across potentially confidential information during an investigation. The screening, assessment and destruction of that information takes place in a manner that does not do sufficient justice to the principle of confidentiality. In many cases there is no judicial review. In September 2023 preliminary questions were submitted to the Supreme Court regarding the handling of confidential information and the distinction in roles between the judiciary and the prosecution in this regard. The Netherlands Bar gave its view on the way the preliminary questions should be answered. According to the Advocate General of the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court must determine that, due to insufficient guarantees in legislation with regard to legal
professional privilege, the supervisory judge has a role to play in more cases than prescribed by law. Another development in which the confidentiality of contact between lawyer and client has been increasingly under pressure is the approach to (continued) criminal conduct in detention (as put forward in the proposal to amend the Penitentiary Principles Act). There is rightly a lot of attention paid to this problem. However, restricting the free and confidential communication between detainees and their lawyers – by visual supervision during the visit of a lawyer to high-security prisons and restricting the number of lawyers for detainees who stay in high-security facilities to two – is not the right route to address this problem. The responses to activities that undermine the rule of law must remain the rule of law. The Prevention of Money Laundering Act\(^1\) has also been putting pressure on lawyers’ professional secrecy. The proposed legislation includes an obligation to exchange data between lawyers (and law firms) serving the same client in the event of certain indications of a high risk of money laundering or terrorist financing.

Lawyers from whom information is requested on the basis of the obligation to inquire are obliged to provide information to the lawyer who requests it, provided that risks have given rise to taking measures, including refusing or terminating the provision of services. The same may apply to proposals regarding the renewal of sanctions legislation, where confidentiality may also come under pressure. In the coming years, efforts will also have to be made to tackle subversive activities. The Netherlands Bar believes that a new government must at the same time guard against further deterioration of the lawyer’s duty of professional secrecy. That legal professional privilege is respected, even (or better: especially) when the pressure is high, is not an interest of the legal profession alone, but of the rule of law as a whole.

Resilience/protection of lawyers
In recent years, there have been several situations in which the safety of lawyers has been seriously compromised. The report “Surveillance and protection. Lessons from three security situations”\(^2\) that was published by the Dutch Safety Board in March 2023, showed that the government – given the position of the lawyer within the rule of law – has a special responsibility when it comes to protecting the lawyer. If a lawyer cannot take a client for reasons of security, or has to give up the client’s defence, this does not only affect the specific case but also the interests of litigants and the rule of law in a broader sense. As written in our previous report, the Netherlands Bar is enhancing the security and resilience of the legal profession together with the Ministry of Justice and Security and the National Coordinator for Counterterrorism and Security (NCTV). The Netherlands Bar has developed an approach that focuses on increasing resilience and awareness of potential risks. With this task force, the Netherlands Bar aims to strengthen the rule of law. Also, the Netherlands Bar wants to increase the awareness of the possible vulnerability of lawyers, just as their resilience and safety. The initiatives that are part of this task force, were promoted in 2023 and will be continued in 2024. One new initiative is the conclusion of a covenant early 2024 between the Netherlands Bar and The Netherlands’ Cadastre, Land Registry and Mapping Agency to protect personal data of lawyers in the event of a probable threat. Another new initiative is the confidant for lawyers. This person, who is a lawyer as well, can be consulted in absolute confidentiality. With this confidant, lawyers could exchange views on threats and matters that deal with (attempts to) criminal subversion.

Digitisation of justice – update 2023

- **Civil and administrative law**

  In 2023 the Council for the Judiciary has again digitised a number of case flows, see below overview. In the coming years, the Council for the Judiciary will realise simple digital access for all litigants and their defending counsels in civil and administrative law. This project is called Digital Access. Digital litigation is still voluntary, but will be required for lawyers at any time. There is currently a request before the legislator to make the case flow of seizure petitions mandatory.

- **Criminal cases**

  There were no significant developments in 2023 with regard to digital litigation in criminal cases.

---

\(^1\)This Act still needs to be discussed.

\(^2\) [Surveillance and protection. Lessons from three security situations - Onderzoeksraad](https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/ministrys/ministry-of-justice-and-security)
The Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure is being modernised. One of its objectives is a “technology independent” organisation of criminal procedural law. This will take several years. Lawyers do already receive digital files in almost all criminal cases in first instance and also more and more frequently in appeal cases.

- **Online hearings**
Digital litigation does not mean that the hearing could also take place online. Due to the absence of legislation, the hearing could only take place online with the permission of the parties at this moment. The Council for the Judiciary is developing a more tailored application to organise online hearings. Legislation for this is under preparation.

- **System link**
Next to the web portal “Mijn Rechtspraak”, the Council for the Judiciary also developed a system link for lawyers. With this link, which is not free of charge, it is easier to litigate digitally as all relevant documents become directly available in the own working environment of lawyers.

- **Secure mailing**
Via secure mailing it is possible to send documents to courts using an own selected supplier of secure mailing, but it is also possible to start secure communication by mail via the website of the Council for the Judiciary without subscription and free of charge.

- **Council of State**
Lawyers can submit documents in immigration cases to the Council of State via the web portal “Mijn Zaak”. In some cases it is also possible to deliver procedural documents via secure mailing. The Council of State is transitioning to a completely new case system for both of its tasks: administrative justice and legislative advice.

- **Supreme Court**
Lawyers and the Supreme Court exchange digital messages and documents in the web portal “Mijn Zaak Hoge Raad”. This is mandatory for lawyers dealing with civil and criminal cases. Digital litigation is not yet mandatory with regard to tax and administrative cases. This also applies to submitting written comments in criminal cases, tax cases, administrative and civil law cases in the preliminary ruling procedure at the Supreme Court.

**Growth of the Netherlands Bar in 2023 and in the past 10 years**
On 1 January 2024, 18,513 lawyers are registered, 295 more than last year. In recent years, the Netherlands Bar has experienced a slight growth of less than 1%. In 2023 this percentage increased to 1.62%. The number of lawyers in the districts of Amsterdam and The Hague increased the most, absolutely and relatively.